Date Printed: 01/03/2022 05:18:00 PM (Gunckle, Janna)

Question Performance by DCF Region/Statewide

From Review Date: All To: All

Report Description: This report provides question level information at a DCF Region level.

Selected Criteria

Program: In-Home Review Type: IH - FP FYQTR Start: FY22QTR1 - Jul, 2021 FYQTR End: FY22QTR1 - Sep, 2021

1(A). During the PUR, were any reports accepted for investigation or assessment assigned timely in accordance with time frames and requirements outlined in PPM for reports of that priority?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	60%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	60%
Northwest	100%	3	<u>2</u>	66.67%	0	0%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	8	<u>6</u>	75%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	25%
Wichita	75%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	1	14.29%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	94.74%	31	18	58.06%	1	3.23%	12	38.71%

1(B). For any reports accepted for investigation or assessment during the PUR, was face-to-face contact with the child(ren) who is (are) the subject of the report made timely in accordance with time frames and requirements outlined in PPM for a report of that priority?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	60%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	60%
Northwest	50%	3	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	3	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	83%	8	<u>5</u>	62.5%	1	12.5%	2	25%
Wichita	25%	Z	1	14.29%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	73.68%	31	14	45.16%	5	16.13%	12	38.71%

1(C). For all reports identified in OSRI Information A and B, were the reasons for the delays due to circumstances beyond the

control of the agency?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northwest	0%	<u>3</u>	0	0%	1	33.33%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	0%	<u>8</u>	0	0%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%
Wichita	0%	7	0	0%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	0%	31	0	0%	5	16.13%	26	83.87%

2(A). For the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to provide or arrange for appropriate services for the family to protect children and prevent their entry into foster care after a reunification? (Be sure to assess the entire period under review.)

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	75%	<u>5</u>	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	0	0%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	8	<u>6</u>	75%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	25%
Wichita	100%	Z	<u>6</u>	85.71%	0	0%	1	14.29%
Statewide	96.15%	31	25	80.65%	1	3.23%	5	16.13%

3(B). If, during the period under review, any child was removed from the home without providing or arranging for services, was this action necessary to ensure the child's safety?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	8	0	0%	0	0%	<u>8</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	Z	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
Statewide	N/A	31	0	0%	0	0%	31	100%

4(A). If the case was opened during the period under review, did the agency conduct in an initial assessment that accurately assessed all risk and safety concerns for the target child in foster care and/or any child(ren) in the family remaining in the home?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	80%	8	4	50%	1	12.5%	<u>3</u>	37.5%
Wichita	100%	Z	<u>6</u>	85.71%	0	0%	1	14.29%
Statewide	92%	31	23	74.19%	2	6.45%	6	19.35%

5(B). During the period under review, did the agency conduct ongoing assessments that accurately assessed all of the risk and safety concerns for the target child in foster care and/or any child(ren) in the family remaining in the home?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	60%	<u>5</u>	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	88%	8	7	87.5%	<u>1</u>	12.5%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	Z	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	87.1%	31	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	0	0%

6(C). During the period under review, if safety concerns were present, did the agency: 1) develop an appropriate safety plan with the family and 2) continually monitor and update the safety plan as needed, including monitoring family engagement in any safety-related services?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	60%
Northwest	100%	3	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southeast	33%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0%
Southwest	71%	8	<u>5</u>	62.5%	2	25%	1	12.5%
Wichita	100%	Z	<u>5</u>	71.43%	0	0%	2	28.57%
Statewide	76.19%	31	16	51.61%	5	16.13%	10	32.26%

7(D). During the period under review, there were no safety concerns pertaining to the target child in foster care and/or any child(ren) in the family remaining in the home that were not adequately or appropriately addressed by the agency?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%
Northwest	50%	3	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	75%	8	<u>3</u>	37.5%	1	12.5%	4	50%
Wichita	100%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	4	57.14%
Statewide	78.95%	31	15	48.39%	4	12.9%	12	38.71%

8(A1). During the period under review, did the agency conduct a formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessment that accurately assessed the child's needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	88%	8	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	7	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	93.55%	31	29	93.55%	2	6.45%	0	0%

 $9 (A2). \ During \ the \ period \ under \ review, \ were \ appropriate \ services \ provided \ to \ meet \ the \ child's \ identified \ needs?$

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	50%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	1	20%	<u>3</u>	60%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	20%
Northwest	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	33.33%
Southwest	0%	<u>8</u>	0	0%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%
Wichita	100%	7	<u>6</u>	85.71%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	14.29%
Statewide	86.67%	31	13	41.94%	2	6.45%	16	51.61%

10(B1). During the period under review, did the agency conduct a formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessment that accurately assessed the mother's needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	60%	<u>5</u>	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%
Northeast	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	0	0%	1	33.33%
Southwest	75%	8	<u>6</u>	75%	2	25%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	Z	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	79.31%	31	23	74.19%	6	19.35%	2	6.45%

11(B2). During the period under review, did the agency conduct a formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessment that accurately assessed the father's needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	60%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	2	40%	0	0%
Northeast	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northwest	100%	3	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	3	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	43%	8	<u>3</u>	37.5%	<u>4</u>	50%	1	12.5%
Wichita	50%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	1	14.29%
Statewide	61.54%	31	16	51.61%	10	32.26%	5	16.13%

12(B3). During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to the mother to meet identified needs?

ccus:								
Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	60%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	2	40%	0	0%
Northeast	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	66.67%	0	0%	1	33.33%
Southwest	75%	8	<u>6</u>	75%	2	25%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	7	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	79.31%	31	23	74.19%	6	19.35%	2	6.45%

13(B4). During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to the father to address identified needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	50%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%
Northeast	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northwest	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	29%	8	<u>2</u>	25%	<u>5</u>	62.5%	<u>1</u>	12.5%
Wichita	50%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	1	14.29%
Statewide	54.17%	31	13	41.94%	11	35.48%	7	22.58%

14(A). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to actively involve the child in the case planning process?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	25%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	67%	8	4	50%	2	25%	2	25%
Wichita	100%	7	<u>6</u>	85.71%	0	0%	1	14.29%
Statewide	75%	31	18	58.06%	6	19.35%	7	22.58%

15(B). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to actively involve the mother in the case planning process?

J 1								
Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%	2	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	86%	8	<u>6</u>	75%	1	12.5%	<u>1</u>	12.5%
Wichita	100%	Z	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	92%	31	23	74.19%	2	6.45%	6	19.35%

16(C). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to actively involve the father in the case planning process?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northeast	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northwest	100%	3	2	66.67%	0	0%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	75%	8	<u>3</u>	37.5%	1	12.5%	4	50%
Wichita	50%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	1	14.29%
Statewide	71.43%	31	15	48.39%	6	19.35%	10	32.26%

17(A). During the period under review, was the frequency of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the child(ren) sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	80%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	33.33%
Southwest	75%	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	75%	2	25%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	86.67%	31	26	83.87%	4	12.9%	1	3.23%

18(B). During the period under review, was the quality of the visits between the caseworker and the child(ren) sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals (for example, did the visits between the caseworker or other responsible party and the child(ren) focus on issues pertinent to case planning, service delivery, and goal achievement)?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
Northeast	60%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	2	40%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	0	0%	1	33.33%
Southwest	71%	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	62.5%	2	25%	1	12.5%
Wichita	86%	Z	<u>6</u>	85.71%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%
Statewide	75.86%	31	22	70.97%	7	22.58%	2	6.45%

19(A2). During the period under review, was the frequency of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the mother sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%	2	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%
Northwest	33%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	<u>2</u>	66.67%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	86%	8	<u>6</u>	75%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
Wichita	100%	Z	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	88%	31	22	70.97%	3	9.68%	6	19.35%

20(B2). During the period under review, was the frequency of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the father sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%
Northeast	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Northwest	50%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	75%	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	37.5%	1	12.5%	<u>4</u>	50%
Wichita	33%	7	<u>2</u>	28.57%	<u>4</u>	57.14%	<u>1</u>	14.29%
Statewide	66.67%	31	14	45.16%	7	22.58%	10	32.26%

21(C). During the period under review, was the quality of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the mother sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	3	60%	0	0%	2	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%
Northwest	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	8	<u>6</u>	75%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	25%
Wichita	100%	Z	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	100%	31	24	77.42%	0	0%	7	22.58%

22(D). During the period under review, was the quality of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the father sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	50%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%	2	40%
Northwest	50%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	<u>1</u>	33.33%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	100%	8	<u>3</u>	37.5%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	62.5%
Wichita	67%	7	<u>2</u>	28.57%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	4	57.14%
Statewide	75%	31	12	38.71%	4	12.9%	15	48.39%

23(A). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to accurately assess the child(ren)'s educational needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	8	<u>2</u>	25%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	75%
Wichita	100%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	4	57.14%
Statewide	95.24%	31	20	64.52%	1	3.23%	10	32.26%

24(B). During the period under review, did the agency engage in concerted efforts to address the child(ren)'s educational needs through appropriate services?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	25%	5	1	20%	<u>3</u>	60%	1	20%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%	2	40%
Northwest	67%	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	3	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	8	1	12.5%	0	0%	7	87.5%
Wichita	100%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	4	57.14%
Statewide	76.47%	31	13	41.94%	4	12.9%	14	45.16%

25(A1). During the period under review, did the agency accurately assess the child's physical health care needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	33.33%
Southwest	100%	<u>8</u>	2	25%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	75%
Wichita	100%	7	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	94.44%	31	17	54.84%	1	3.23%	13	41.94%

26(A2). During the period under review, did the agency accurately assess the child's dental health care needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%
Northwest	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	66.67%	0	0%	1	33.33%
Southwest	100%	8	1	12.5%	0	0%	Z	87.5%
Wichita	100%	7	1	14.29%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	92.31%	31	12	38.71%	1	3.23%	18	58.06%

27(B2). During the period under review, did the agency ensure that appropriate services were provided to the child to address all identified physical health needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	0	0%	4	80%
Northwest	100%	3	1	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	100%	8	1	12.5%	0	0%	7	87.5%
Wichita	100%	Z	1	14.29%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	75%	31	6	19.35%	2	6.45%	23	74.19%

28(B3). During the period under review, did the agency ensure that appropriate services were provided to the child to address all identified dental health needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%
Northeast	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	0%	<u>8</u>	0	0%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%
Wichita	100%	Z	1	14.29%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	50%	31	3	9.68%	3	9.68%	25	80.65%

29(A). During the period under review, did the agency conduct an accurate assessment of the children's mental/behavioral health needs either initially (if the child entered foster care during the period under review or if the in-home services case was opened during the period under review) and on an ongoing basis to inform case planning decisions?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	75%	0	0%	2	25%
Wichita	100%	7	<u>5</u>	71.43%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	28.57%
Statewide	96.3%	31	26	83.87%	1	3.23%	4	12.9%

30(C). During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to address the child(ren)'s mental/behavioral health needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	50%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	1	20%	3	60%
Northeast	100%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%
Northwest	50%	3	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Southeast	100%	3	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	8	4	50%	0	0%	4	50%
Wichita	100%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	4	57.14%
Statewide	88.89%	31	16	51.61%	2	6.45%	13	41.94%

31. During the period under review, did the agency complete a substance abuse screening tool on all family members?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
Northeast	60%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>2</u>	40%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	3	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	8	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	71%	Z	<u>5</u>	71.43%	<u>2</u>	28.57%	0	0%
Statewide	83.87%	31	26	83.87%	5	16.13%	0	0%

32. During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to address the families' substance abuse needs?

ieeus:								
Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%
Northeast	67%	<u>5</u>	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%
Northwest	N/A	3	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	1	33.33%	0	0%	2	66.67%
Southwest	50%	8	<u>2</u>	25%	2	25%	4	50%
Wichita	60%	Z	<u>3</u>	42.86%	2	28.57%	2	28.57%
Statewide	56.25%	31	9	29.03%	7	22.58%	15	48.39%

33. Did a case transfer staffing occur, if applicable, as documented by completing the PPS 3005?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	0%	<u>5</u>	0	0%	1	20%	4	80%
Northwest	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	100%	8	1	12.5%	0	0%	7	87.5%
Wichita	100%	7	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	4	57.14%
Statewide	80%	31	4	12.9%	1	3.23%	26	83.87%

34. Is there documentation supporting a supervisor staffing occurred as required, per PPM 5122?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	1	20%	0	0%
Northeast	60%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	2	40%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>3</u>	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	8	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	86%	Z	<u>6</u>	85.71%	1	14.29%	0	0%
Statewide	83.87%	31	26	83.87%	5	16.13%	0	0%

35. Was a Plan of Safe Care completed as required per PPM 2050 and PPM 5122, if applicable?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>8</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>8</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	7	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
Statewide	N/A	31	0	0%	0	0%	31	100%