Date Printed: 01/03/2022 02:42:34 PM (Gunckle, Janna)

Question Performance by DCF Region/Statewide

From Review Date: All To: All

Report Description: This report provides question level information at a DCF Region level.

Selected Criteria

Program: Intake and Assessment Review Type: I&A Assigned FYQTR Start: FY22QTR1 - Jul, 2021 FYQTR End:

FY22QTR1 - Sep, 2021

(1) Was a report made to law enforcement as required per PPM 2210 and (K.S.A. 38-2226(b))?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	30	4	13.33%	0	0%	<u>26</u>	86.67%
Northeast	N/A	<u>11</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>11</u>	100%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	2	14.29%	0	0%	<u>12</u>	85.71%
Southeast	N/A	9	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>16</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>16</u>	100%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	1	5%	0	0%	<u>19</u>	95%
Statewide	100%	100	7	7%	0	0%	93	93%

(2) Was the immediate safety of the child determined within the assigned response time or was there documentation of reasonable efforts and/or allowable reasons for not completing timely?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	30	24	80%	<u>6</u>	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	11	<u>11</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	71%	14	<u>10</u>	71.43%	<u>4</u>	28.57%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	9	8	88.89%	0	0%	1	11.11%
Southwest	63%	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	62.5%	<u>6</u>	37.5%	0	0%
Wichita	95%	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	95%	1	5%	0	0%
Statewide	82.83%	100	82	82%	17	17%	1	1%

(3) If an Immediate Safety plan was completed with the family, was a supportive safety network (safe supportive people) included in the plan?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>30</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>30</u>	100%
Northeast	0%	<u>11</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	<u>10</u>	90.91%
Northwest	N/A	<u>14</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>14</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	9	0	0%	0	0%	<u>9</u>	100%
Southwest	0%	<u>16</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	6.25%	<u>15</u>	93.75%
Wichita	N/A	20	0	0%	0	0%	<u>20</u>	100%
Statewide	0%	100	0	0%	2	2%	98	98%

(4) Was the primary care giver of the alleged victim/identified child interviewed? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	83%	<u>30</u>	<u>25</u>	83.33%	<u>5</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	93%	14	<u>13</u>	92.86%	1	7.14%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	9	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	95%	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	95%	1	5%	0	0%
Statewide	93%	100	93	93%	7	7%	0	0%

(5) Was the alleged victim/identified child interviewed (or observed if non-verbal or pre-verbal)? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	77%	30	23	76.67%	7	23.33%	0	0%
Northeast	91%	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	90.91%	1	9.09%	0	0%
Northwest	86%	14	<u>12</u>	85.71%	2	14.29%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	9	<u>8</u>	88.89%	0	0%	1	11.11%
Southwest	94%	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	93.75%	1	6.25%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	20	<u>20</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	88.89%	100	88	88%	11	11%	1	1%

(6) Were the siblings residing in the home of the alleged victim interviewed? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	78%	30	14	46.67%	4	13.33%	12	40%
Northeast	80%	11	4	36.36%	1	9.09%	<u>6</u>	54.55%
Northwest	70%	14	7	50%	<u>3</u>	21.43%	4	28.57%
Southeast	100%	9	<u>6</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	78%	<u>16</u>	7	43.75%	2	12.5%	7	43.75%
Wichita	88%	<u>20</u>	7	35%	<u>1</u>	5%	<u>12</u>	60%
Statewide	80.36%	100	45	45%	11	11%	44	44%

(7) Was the alleged perpetrator interviewed? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	81%	30	<u>13</u>	43.33%	<u>3</u>	10%	14	46.67%
Northeast	100%	11	7	63.64%	0	0%	4	36.36%
Northwest	83%	14	<u>10</u>	71.43%	<u>2</u>	14.29%	2	14.29%
Southeast	100%	9	<u>6</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	91%	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	62.5%	<u>1</u>	6.25%	<u>5</u>	31.25%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>13</u>	65%	0	0%	7	35%
Statewide	90.77%	100	59	59%	6	6%	35	35%

(8) If primary caregiver was interviewed, was the PPS 2019 utilized to document the conversation?

(a) If primary caregiver was interviewed, was the FF 3 20 F5 diffized to document the conversation:								
Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	87%	30	<u>20</u>	66.67%	<u>3</u>	10%	Z	23.33%
Northeast	90%	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	81.82%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	1	9.09%
Northwest	83%	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>	71.43%	<u>2</u>	14.29%	<u>2</u>	14.29%
Southeast	100%	9	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	93.75%	0	0%	1	6.25%
Wichita	90%	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	90%	2	10%	0	0%
Statewide	91.01%	100	81	81%	8	8%	11	11%

(9) If primary caregiver was interviewed, were both the PPS 2019 Immediate and Lasting Safety Scales completed?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	37%	30	7	23.33%	12	40%	<u>11</u>	36.67%
Northeast	45%	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	45.45%	<u>6</u>	54.55%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>14</u>	<u>5</u>	35.71%	<u>5</u>	35.71%	<u>4</u>	28.57%
Southeast	89%	9	<u>8</u>	88.89%	<u>1</u>	11.11%	0	0%
Southwest	33%	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	31.25%	<u>10</u>	62.5%	1	6.25%
Wichita	42%	<u>20</u>	<u>8</u>	40%	<u>11</u>	55%	<u>1</u>	5%
Statewide	45.78%	100	38	38%	45	45%	17	17%

(10) If alleged victim/identified child was interviewed, were Kansas Practice Model tools (PPS 2019, or My Three Houses or Wizard/Fairy) utilized to document the conversation?

		The conversation:						
Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	90%	<u>30</u>	<u>18</u>	60%	<u>2</u>	6.67%	<u>10</u>	33.33%
Northeast	88%	<u>11</u>	7	63.64%	1	9.09%	<u>3</u>	27.27%
Northwest	90%	<u>14</u>	9	64.29%	1	7.14%	4	28.57%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	100%	<u>16</u>	<u>14</u>	87.5%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	12.5%
Wichita	87%	<u>20</u>	<u>13</u>	65%	2	10%	<u>5</u>	25%
Statewide	91.78%	100	67	67%	6	6%	27	27%

(11) If siblings were interviewed, were Kansas Practice Model (PPS 2019, or My Three Houses or Wizard/Fairy) utilized to document the conversation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	92%	30	11	36.67%	1	3.33%	<u>18</u>	60%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	4	36.36%	0	0%	7	63.64%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	7	50%	0	0%	7	50%
Southeast	100%	9	<u>5</u>	55.56%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	44.44%
Southwest	100%	<u>16</u>	7	43.75%	0	0%	<u>9</u>	56.25%
Wichita	100%	20	<u>6</u>	30%	0	0%	<u>14</u>	70%
Statewide	97.56%	100	40	40%	1	1%	59	59%

(12) Was a genogram completed for this assessment?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	73%	<u>30</u>	22	73.33%	8	26.67%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	11	11	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	79%	14	<u>11</u>	78.57%	<u>3</u>	21.43%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	9	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	90%	20	18	90%	2	10%	0	0%
Statewide	87%	100	87	87%	13	13%	0	0%

(13) Was the PPS 2020 Kansas DCF Assessment Map completed for this assessment?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	30	20	66.67%	10	33.33%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	14	7	50%	7	50%	0	0%
Southeast	89%	9	<u>8</u>	88.89%	<u>1</u>	11.11%	0	0%
Southwest	80%	<u>16</u>	<u>12</u>	75%	<u>3</u>	18.75%	1	6.25%
Wichita	60%	<u>20</u>	<u>12</u>	60%	8	40%	0	0%
Statewide	70.71%	100	70	70%	29	29%	1	1%

(14) If the family is referred for DCF Services (Family Services, Family Preservation Services or Family First Prevention Services) are all section sof the PPS 2020 Kansas DCF Assessment Map completed?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	0%	<u>30</u>	0	0%	1	3.33%	<u>29</u>	96.67%
Northeast	100%	11	2	18.18%	0	0%	9	81.82%
Northwest	100%	14	1	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	100%	9	1	11.11%	0	0%	8	88.89%
Southwest	50%	<u>16</u>	1	6.25%	1	6.25%	<u>14</u>	87.5%
Wichita	83%	<u>20</u>	<u>5</u>	25%	1	5%	<u>14</u>	70%
Statewide	76.92%	100	10	10%	3	3%	87	87%

(15) Was the Supervision Consultation Tool utilized during supervisor consultation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	57%	30	<u>17</u>	56.67%	<u>13</u>	43.33%	0	0%
Northeast	30%	<u>11</u>	<u>3</u>	27.27%	7	63.64%	1	9.09%
Northwest	46%	<u>14</u>	<u>6</u>	42.86%	7	50%	1	7.14%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	67%	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>	50%	<u>4</u>	25%	4	25%
Wichita	87%	<u>20</u>	<u>13</u>	65%	<u>2</u>	10%	<u>5</u>	25%
Statewide	61.63%	100	53	53%	33	33%	14	14%

(16) Was a finding made for each allegation associated to each alleged victim?

To y was a finding made for each anegation associated to each aneged victim.								
Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	83%	30	<u>15</u>	50%	<u>3</u>	10%	<u>12</u>	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	7	63.64%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	36.36%
Northwest	58%	<u>14</u>	7	50%	<u>5</u>	35.71%	<u>2</u>	14.29%
Southeast	100%	9	<u>6</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	82%	<u>16</u>	<u>9</u>	56.25%	2	12.5%	<u>5</u>	31.25%
Wichita	92%	20	<u>12</u>	60%	1	5%	7	35%
Statewide	83.58%	100	56	56%	11	11%	33	33%

(17) Does the basis for case finding decision document sufficient information, using the facts and circumstances of the case, to support the case finding following policy requirements?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	78%	<u>30</u>	14	46.67%	4	13.33%	<u>12</u>	40%
Northeast	100%	11	7	63.64%	0	0%	4	36.36%
Northwest	100%	14	9	64.29%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	35.71%
Southeast	100%	9	<u>6</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	100%	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	62.5%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	37.5%
Wichita	92%	20	<u>11</u>	55%	1	5%	8	40%
Statewide	91.94%	100	57	57%	5	5%	38	38%