

Question Performance by DCF Region/Statewide

From Review Date: All To: All

Report Description: This report provides question level information at a DCF Region level.

Selected Criteria

Program: Adult Protection Services Review Type: APS Assigned FYQTR Start: FY22QTR1 - Jul, 2021 FYQTR End: FY22QTR1 - Sep, 2021

1. For cases assigned for further assessment, does the documentation support the assigned response time according to policy?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	67%	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	93.02%	43	40	93.02%	3	6.98%	0	0%

2. Did the initial face to face visit with the involved adult occur within the assigned timeframe?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	33.33%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>13</u>	92.86%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	7.14%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	90%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	10%
Wichita	86%	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	85.71%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%
Statewide	97.44%	43	38	88.37%	1	2.33%	4	9.3%

3. If the involved adult was not contacted within the assigned time frame were documented reasonable efforts made to determine the safety of the involved adult?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Northeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	10%	0	0%	<u>9</u>	90%
Wichita	50%	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>5</u>	71.43%
Statewide	83.33%	43	5	11.63%	1	2.33%	37	86.05%

4. Was the involved adult's guardian/conservator contacted?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>4</u>	28.57%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	71.43%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	0%	<u>7</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	88.89%	43	8	18.6%	1	2.33%	34	79.07%

5. Was the involved adult provided a copy of, or given the opportunity to receive a copy of the "Client Rights" brochure (PPM 10205 or PPM 10208)?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	86%	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	85.71%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%
Statewide	97.67%	43	42	97.67%	1	2.33%	0	0%

6. Was the alleged perpetrator contacted about the investigation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>4</u>	28.57%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	71.43%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	30%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	70%
Wichita	33%	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>2</u>	28.57%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	84.62%	43	11	25.58%	2	4.65%	30	69.77%

7. If the alleged perpetrator was not contacted about the investigation was there a documented reason for them not to be contacted?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	21.43%	0	0%	<u>11</u>	78.57%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	10%	0	0%	<u>9</u>	90%
Wichita	0%	<u>7</u>	0	0%	<u>2</u>	28.57%	<u>5</u>	71.43%
Statewide	71.43%	43	5	11.63%	2	4.65%	36	83.72%

8. If the APS Specialist made contact with the alleged perpetrator, is there documentation that the PPS-10240 was provided to the alleged perpetrator?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>4</u>	28.57%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	71.43%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	50%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	50%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	100%	43	13	30.23%	0	0%	30	69.77%

9. Was the case finding made within 30 working days of the case being assigned for further assessment?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	67%	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Northwest	92%	<u>14</u>	<u>12</u>	85.71%	<u>1</u>	7.14%	<u>1</u>	7.14%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	71%	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	71.43%	<u>2</u>	28.57%	0	0%
Statewide	90.48%	43	38	88.37%	4	9.3%	1	2.33%

10. If the case finding was not made within 30 working days of the case being assigned for further assessment was there a documented allowable reason for a delayed finding?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	0%	<u>3</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	33.33%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	50%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	<u>1</u>	7.14%	<u>12</u>	85.71%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	0%	<u>7</u>	0	0%	<u>2</u>	28.57%	<u>5</u>	71.43%
Statewide	20%	43	1	2.33%	4	9.3%	38	88.37%

11. Was the case finding staffed with supervisor?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	71%	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	71.43%	<u>2</u>	28.57%	0	0%
Statewide	95.35%	43	41	95.35%	2	4.65%	0	0%

12. For substantiated findings, other than self-neglect, was law enforcement and if applicable, the District or County Attorney notified using the PPS 10350?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	100%	43	2	4.65%	0	0%	41	95.35%

13. Was the alleged perpetrator notified of the finding within five (5) working days of making a finding or if not, was there a documented allowable reason, staffed by supervisor, as to why the alleged perpetrator was not notified within five (5) working

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	0%	<u>6</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>5</u>	35.71%	0	0%	<u>9</u>	64.29%
Southeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	50%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	50%
Wichita	50%	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>5</u>	71.43%
Statewide	86.67%	43	13	30.23%	2	4.65%	28	65.12%

14. For substantiated findings, was the finding sent to the Attorney General's Abuse Neglect Exploitation Unit?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	100%	43	2	4.65%	0	0%	41	95.35%

15. For substantiated findings that were sent to the Attorney General's Abuse Neglect Exploitation Unit, were they sent in 10 working days?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	100%	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	66.67%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	100%	43	2	4.65%	0	0%	41	95.35%

16. Was the PPS-10500 developed by the end of the thirty (30) day investigation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	100%	43	2	4.65%	0	0%	41	95.35%

17. Has the social worker reviewed the Service plan with Supervisor every 60 calendar days?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	100%	43	1	2.33%	0	0%	42	97.67%

18. During the period under review, were all needs identified in the service plan adequately or appropriately addressed by the agency?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Northwest	100%	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	7.14%	0	0%	<u>13</u>	92.86%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	100%	43	1	2.33%	0	0%	42	97.67%

19. Was the reason for closing the service plan documented in KIPS record note section?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>14</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>14</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	<u>3</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>10</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	N/A	43	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%