

## Question Performance by DCF Region/Statewide

From Review Date: All To: All

Report Description: This report provides question level information at a DCF Region level.

## Selected Criteria

Sample Case: Sample Program: In-Home Review Type: IH - FP FYQTR Start: FY22QTR3 - Jan, 2022 FYQTR End: FY22QTR3 - Mar, 2022

1(A). During the PUR, were any reports accepted for investigation or assessment assigned timely in accordance with time frames and requirements outlined in PPM for reports of that priority?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	20%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Southwest	80%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	89%	<u>30</u>	<u>16</u>	53.33%	<u>2</u>	6.67%	<u>12</u>	40%

1(B). For any reports accepted for investigation or assessment during the PUR, was face-to-face contact with the child(ren) who is (are) the subject of the report made timely in accordance with time frames and requirements outlined in PPM for a report of that priority?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Wichita	0%	<u>7</u>	0	0%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	67%	<u>30</u>	<u>12</u>	40%	<u>6</u>	20%	<u>12</u>	40%

1(C). For all reports identified in OSRI Information A and B, were the reasons for the delays due to circumstances beyond the control of the agency?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	0%	<u>5</u>	0	0%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	0%	<u>5</u>	0	0%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%
Southeast	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Southwest	50%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Wichita	0%	<u>7</u>	0	0%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	11%	<u>30</u>	<u>1</u>	3.33%	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>21</u>	70%

2(A). For the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to provide or arrange for appropriate services for the family to protect children and prevent their entry into foster care after a reunification? (Be sure to assess the entire period under review.)

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	85.71%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	14.29%
Statewide	95%	<u>30</u>	<u>21</u>	70%	<u>1</u>	3.33%	<u>8</u>	26.67%

3(B). If, during the period under review, any child was removed from the home without providing or arranging for services, was this action necessary to ensure the child's safety?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	N/A	<u>30</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>30</u>	100%

4(A). If the case was opened during the period under review, did the agency conduct in an initial assessment that accurately assessed all risk and safety concerns for the target child in foster care and/or any child(ren) in the family remaining in the home?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	57.14%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	95%	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	3.33%	<u>9</u>	30%

5(B). During the period under review, did the agency conduct ongoing assessments that accurately assessed all of the risk and safety concerns for the target child in foster care and/or any child(ren) in the family remaining in the home?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	40%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	87%	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	86.67%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	0	0%

6(C). During the period under review, if safety concerns were present, did the agency: 1) develop an appropriate safety plan with the family and 2) continually monitor and update the safety plan as needed, including monitoring family engagement in any safety-related services?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	40%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	83%	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	66.67%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	<u>6</u>	20%

7(D). During the period under review, there were no safety concerns pertaining to the target child in foster care and/or any child(ren) in the family remaining in the home that were not adequately or appropriately addressed by the agency?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	40%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	50%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	50%
Wichita	75%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	67%	<u>30</u>	<u>12</u>	40%	<u>6</u>	20%	<u>12</u>	40%

8(A1). During the period under review, did the agency conduct a formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessment that accurately assessed the child's needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	87%	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	86.67%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	0	0%

9(A2). During the period under review, were appropriate services provided to meet the child's identified needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>3</u>	60%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Southwest	0%	<u>6</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	71.43%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	28.57%
Statewide	76%	<u>30</u>	<u>13</u>	43.33%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	<u>13</u>	43.33%

10(B1). During the period under review, did the agency conduct a formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessment that accurately assessed the mother's needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	60%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>2</u>	40%	0	0%
Southeast	83%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Southwest	83%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	87%	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	86.67%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	0	0%

11(B2). During the period under review, did the agency conduct a formal or informal initial and/or ongoing comprehensive assessment that accurately assessed the father's needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	0%	<u>1</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%
Northwest	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Southeast	33%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	<u>4</u>	66.67%	0	0%
Southwest	25%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>3</u>	50%	<u>2</u>	33.33%
Wichita	75%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	52%	<u>30</u>	<u>12</u>	40%	<u>11</u>	36.67%	<u>7</u>	23.33%

12(B3). During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to the mother to meet identified needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	20%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	75%	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	50%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%
Southwest	83%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	70%	<u>30</u>	<u>19</u>	63.33%	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>3</u>	10%

13(B4). During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to the father to address identified needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	0%	<u>1</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%
Northwest	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	33%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	<u>4</u>	66.67%	0	0%
Southwest	0%	<u>6</u>	0	0%	<u>3</u>	50%	<u>3</u>	50%
Wichita	67%	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	28.57%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	40%	<u>30</u>	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>12</u>	40%	<u>10</u>	33.33%

14(A). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to actively involve the child in the case planning process?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	40%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%
Southeast	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southwest	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Wichita	40%	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	28.57%	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>2</u>	28.57%
Statewide	54%	<u>30</u>	<u>14</u>	46.67%	<u>12</u>	40%	<u>4</u>	13.33%

15(B). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to actively involve the mother in the case planning process?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	86%	<u>30</u>	<u>24</u>	80%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	<u>2</u>	6.67%

16(C). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to actively involve the father in the case planning process?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	0%	<u>1</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	50%	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	50%	<u>3</u>	50%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Wichita	75%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	58%	<u>30</u>	<u>11</u>	36.67%	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>11</u>	36.67%

17(A). During the period under review, was the frequency of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the child(ren) sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	60%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>2</u>	40%	0	0%
Southeast	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southwest	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	77%	<u>30</u>	<u>23</u>	76.67%	<u>7</u>	23.33%	0	0%

18(B). During the period under review, was the quality of the visits between the caseworker and the child(ren) sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals (for example, did the visits between the caseworker or other responsible party and the child(ren) focus on issues pertinent to case planning, service delivery, and goal achievement)?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	20%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%
Southeast	0%	<u>6</u>	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Southwest	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Wichita	86%	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	85.71%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%
Statewide	50%	<u>30</u>	<u>14</u>	46.67%	<u>14</u>	46.67%	<u>2</u>	6.67%

19(A2). During the period under review, was the frequency of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the mother sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	80%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	93%	<u>30</u>	<u>25</u>	83.33%	<u>2</u>	6.67%	<u>3</u>	10%

20(B2). During the period under review, was the frequency of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the father sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	67%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	0%	<u>1</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	50%	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	50%	<u>3</u>	50%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Wichita	75%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	58%	<u>30</u>	<u>11</u>	36.67%	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>11</u>	36.67%

21(C). During the period under review, was the quality of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the mother sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	40%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	80%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	85%	<u>30</u>	<u>23</u>	76.67%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	<u>3</u>	10%



22(D). During the period under review, was the quality of the visits between the caseworker (or other responsible party) and the father sufficient to address issues pertaining to the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	0%	<u>1</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	40%
Southeast	60%	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	50%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Wichita	75%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	<u>1</u>	14.29%	<u>3</u>	42.86%
Statewide	65%	<u>30</u>	<u>11</u>	36.67%	<u>6</u>	20%	<u>13</u>	43.33%

23(A). During the period under review, did the agency make concerted efforts to accurately assess the child(ren)'s educational needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	75%	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	80%
Southeast	83%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Southwest	75%	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	50%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	84%	<u>30</u>	<u>16</u>	53.33%	<u>3</u>	10%	<u>11</u>	36.67%

24(B). During the period under review, did the agency engage in concerted efforts to address the child(ren)'s educational needs through appropriate services?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	80%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	80%
Southeast	83%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Southwest	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>3</u>	50%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	87%	<u>30</u>	<u>13</u>	43.33%	<u>2</u>	6.67%	<u>15</u>	50%

25(A1). During the period under review, did the agency accurately assess the child's physical health care needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	40%	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	60%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	80%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	80%	<u>30</u>	<u>12</u>	40%	<u>3</u>	10%	<u>15</u>	50%

26(A2). During the period under review, did the agency accurately assess the child's dental health care needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	25%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>1</u>	20%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	73%	<u>30</u>	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>3</u>	10%	<u>19</u>	63.33%

27(B2). During the period under review, did the agency ensure that appropriate services were provided to the child to address all identified physical health needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	0%	<u>5</u>	0	0%	<u>3</u>	60%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	80%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	33.33%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	83.33%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	14.29%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	85.71%
Statewide	70%	<u>30</u>	<u>7</u>	23.33%	<u>3</u>	10%	<u>20</u>	66.67%

28(B3). During the period under review, did the agency ensure that appropriate services were provided to the child to address all identified dental health needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Southwest	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	100%	<u>30</u>	<u>2</u>	6.67%	0	0%	<u>28</u>	93.33%

29(A). During the period under review, did the agency conduct an accurate assessment of the children's mental/behavioral health needs either initially (if the child entered foster care during the period under review or if the in-home services case was opened during the period under review) and on an ongoing basis to inform case planning decisions?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	20%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	83%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	85.71%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	14.29%
Statewide	93%	<u>30</u>	<u>26</u>	86.67%	<u>2</u>	6.67%	<u>2</u>	6.67%

30(C). During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to address the child(ren)'s mental/behavioral health needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%
Northeast	0%	<u>1</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>3</u>	60%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	33.33%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	85.71%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	14.29%
Statewide	82%	<u>30</u>	<u>18</u>	60%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	<u>8</u>	26.67%

31. During the period under review, did the agency complete a substance abuse screening tool on all family members?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	20%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>4</u>	80%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	16.67%
Southwest	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	42.86%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	57.14%
Statewide	80%	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	66.67%	<u>5</u>	16.67%	<u>5</u>	16.67%

32. During the period under review, did the agency provide appropriate services to address the families' substance abuse needs?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	0%	<u>5</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>4</u>	80%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	33%	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>2</u>	40%	<u>2</u>	40%
Southeast	50%	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>1</u>	16.67%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Southwest	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	71.43%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	28.57%
Statewide	64%	<u>30</u>	<u>7</u>	23.33%	<u>4</u>	13.33%	<u>19</u>	63.33%

33. Did a case transfer staffing occur, if applicable, as documented by completing the PPS 3005?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	0%	<u>1</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%
Northwest	0%	<u>5</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	20%	<u>4</u>	80%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	66.67%
Southwest	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	28.57%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	71.43%
Statewide	67%	<u>30</u>	<u>4</u>	13.33%	<u>2</u>	6.67%	<u>24</u>	80%

34. Is there documentation supporting a supervisor staffing occurred as required, per PPM 5122?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	80%	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	80%	<u>1</u>	20%	0	0%
Northeast	100%	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	67%	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	90%	<u>30</u>	<u>27</u>	90%	<u>3</u>	10%	0	0%

35. Was a Plan of Safe Care completed as required per PPM 2050 and PPM 5122, if applicable?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>1</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>5</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>6</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>7</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	100%
Statewide	N/A	<u>30</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>30</u>	100%