

## Question Performance by DCF Region/Statewide

From Review Date: All To: All

Report Description: This report provides question level information at a DCF Region level.

## Selected Criteria

Sample Case: Sample Program: Intake and Assessment Review Type: I&A Assigned FYQTR Start: FY22QTR3 - Jan, 2022 FYQTR End: FY22QTR3 - Mar, 2022

(3) Was a report made to law enforcement as required per PPM 2210 and (K.S.A. 38-2226(b))?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	N/A	<u>30</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>30</u>	100%
Northeast	N/A	<u>11</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>11</u>	100%
Northwest	N/A	<u>12</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>12</u>	100%
Southeast	N/A	<u>9</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>9</u>	100%
Southwest	N/A	<u>18</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>18</u>	100%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>1</u>	5%	0	0%	<u>19</u>	95%
Statewide	100%	<u>100</u>	<u>1</u>	1%	0	0%	<u>99</u>	99%

(4) Was the immediate safety of the child determined within the assigned response time or was there documentation of reasonable efforts and/or allowable reasons for not completing timely?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	62%	<u>30</u>	<u>18</u>	60%	<u>11</u>	36.67%	<u>1</u>	3.33%
Northeast	82%	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	81.82%	<u>2</u>	18.18%	0	0%
Northwest	67%	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	66.67%	<u>4</u>	33.33%	0	0%
Southeast	89%	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	88.89%	<u>1</u>	11.11%	0	0%
Southwest	56%	<u>18</u>	<u>10</u>	55.56%	<u>8</u>	44.44%	0	0%
Wichita	90%	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>	90%	<u>2</u>	10%	0	0%
Statewide	72%	<u>100</u>	<u>71</u>	71%	<u>28</u>	28%	<u>1</u>	1%

(5) If an Immediate Safety plan was completed with the family, was a supportive safety network (safe supportive people) included in the plan?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	0%	<u>30</u>	0	0%	<u>5</u>	16.67%	<u>25</u>	83.33%
Northeast	0%	<u>11</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	<u>10</u>	90.91%
Northwest	N/A	<u>12</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>12</u>	100%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>2</u>	22.22%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	77.78%
Southwest	N/A	<u>18</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>18</u>	100%
Wichita	N/A	<u>20</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>20</u>	100%
Statewide	25%	<u>100</u>	<u>2</u>	2%	<u>6</u>	6%	<u>92</u>	92%

(6) Was the primary care giver of the alleged victim/identified child interviewed? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	75%	<u>30</u>	<u>21</u>	70%	<u>7</u>	23.33%	<u>2</u>	6.67%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	92%	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	91.67%	<u>1</u>	8.33%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	89%	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	88.89%	<u>2</u>	11.11%	0	0%
Wichita	85%	<u>20</u>	<u>17</u>	85%	<u>3</u>	15%	0	0%
Statewide	87%	<u>100</u>	<u>85</u>	85%	<u>13</u>	13%	<u>2</u>	2%

(7) Was the alleged victim/identified child interviewed (or observed if non-verbal or pre-verbal)? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	72%	<u>30</u>	<u>21</u>	70%	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>1</u>	3.33%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	90.91%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	9.09%
Northwest	83%	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	83.33%	<u>2</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Southwest	89%	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	88.89%	<u>2</u>	11.11%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	88%	<u>100</u>	<u>86</u>	86%	<u>12</u>	12%	<u>2</u>	2%

(8) Were the siblings residing in the home of the alleged victim interviewed? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	50%	<u>30</u>	<u>7</u>	23.33%	<u>7</u>	23.33%	<u>16</u>	53.33%
Northeast	80%	<u>11</u>	<u>4</u>	36.36%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	<u>6</u>	54.55%
Northwest	33%	<u>12</u>	<u>1</u>	8.33%	<u>2</u>	16.67%	<u>9</u>	75%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>3</u>	33.33%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	66.67%
Southwest	75%	<u>18</u>	<u>6</u>	33.33%	<u>2</u>	11.11%	<u>10</u>	55.56%
Wichita	86%	<u>20</u>	<u>6</u>	30%	<u>1</u>	5%	<u>13</u>	65%
Statewide	68%	<u>100</u>	<u>27</u>	27%	<u>13</u>	13%	<u>60</u>	60%

(9) Was the alleged perpetrator interviewed? If not, were there allowable reasons for not interviewing documented in the case file?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	60%	<u>30</u>	<u>12</u>	40%	<u>8</u>	26.67%	<u>10</u>	33.33%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	63.64%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	36.36%
Northwest	89%	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	8.33%	<u>3</u>	25%
Southeast	83%	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	55.56%	<u>1</u>	11.11%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	78%	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	38.89%	<u>2</u>	11.11%	<u>9</u>	50%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>12</u>	60%	0	0%	<u>8</u>	40%
Statewide	81%	<u>100</u>	<u>51</u>	51%	<u>12</u>	12%	<u>37</u>	37%

(10) If primary caregiver was interviewed, was the PPS 2019 utilized to document the conversation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	78%	<u>30</u>	<u>21</u>	70%	<u>6</u>	20%	<u>3</u>	10%
Northeast	91%	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	90.91%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	0	0%
Northwest	100%	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	91.67%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	8.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	88.89%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	11.11%
Southwest	100%	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	16.67%
Wichita	89%	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>	80%	<u>2</u>	10%	<u>2</u>	10%
Statewide	90%	<u>100</u>	<u>81</u>	81%	<u>9</u>	9%	<u>10</u>	10%

(11) If primary caregiver was interviewed, were both the PPS 2019 Immediate and Lasting Safety Scales completed?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	36%	<u>30</u>	<u>9</u>	30%	<u>16</u>	53.33%	<u>5</u>	16.67%
Northeast	70%	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	63.64%	<u>3</u>	27.27%	<u>1</u>	9.09%
Northwest	18%	<u>12</u>	<u>2</u>	16.67%	<u>9</u>	75%	<u>1</u>	8.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	88.89%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	11.11%
Southwest	53%	<u>18</u>	<u>8</u>	44.44%	<u>7</u>	38.89%	<u>3</u>	16.67%
Wichita	19%	<u>20</u>	<u>3</u>	15%	<u>13</u>	65%	<u>4</u>	20%
Statewide	44%	<u>100</u>	<u>37</u>	37%	<u>48</u>	48%	<u>15</u>	15%

(12) If alleged victim/identified child was interviewed, were Kansas Practice Model tools (PPS 2019, or My Three Houses or Wizard/Fairy) utilized to document the conversation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	76%	<u>30</u>	<u>19</u>	63.33%	<u>6</u>	20%	<u>5</u>	16.67%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>	72.73%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	27.27%
Northwest	100%	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	83.33%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	16.67%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	77.78%	0	0%	<u>2</u>	22.22%
Southwest	93%	<u>18</u>	<u>14</u>	77.78%	<u>1</u>	5.56%	<u>3</u>	16.67%
Wichita	95%	<u>20</u>	<u>19</u>	95%	<u>1</u>	5%	0	0%
Statewide	91%	<u>100</u>	<u>77</u>	77%	<u>8</u>	8%	<u>15</u>	15%

(13) If siblings were interviewed, were Kansas Practice Model (PPS 2019, or My Three Houses or Wizard/Fairy) utilized to document the conversation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	55%	<u>30</u>	<u>6</u>	20%	<u>5</u>	16.67%	<u>19</u>	63.33%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>2</u>	18.18%	0	0%	<u>9</u>	81.82%
Northwest	100%	<u>12</u>	<u>2</u>	16.67%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	83.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	44.44%	0	0%	<u>5</u>	55.56%
Southwest	100%	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	38.89%	0	0%	<u>11</u>	61.11%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>6</u>	30%	0	0%	<u>14</u>	70%
Statewide	84%	<u>100</u>	<u>27</u>	27%	<u>5</u>	5%	<u>68</u>	68%

(14) Was a genogram completed for this assessment?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	74%	<u>30</u>	<u>20</u>	66.67%	<u>7</u>	23.33%	<u>3</u>	10%
Northeast	91%	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	90.91%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	0	0%
Northwest	83%	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	83.33%	<u>2</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Southeast	75%	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	66.67%	<u>2</u>	22.22%	<u>1</u>	11.11%
Southwest	89%	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	88.89%	<u>2</u>	11.11%	0	0%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Statewide	85%	<u>100</u>	<u>82</u>	82%	<u>14</u>	14%	<u>4</u>	4%

(15) Was the PPS 2020 Kansas DCF Assessment Map completed for this assessment?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	64%	<u>30</u>	<u>18</u>	60%	<u>10</u>	33.33%	<u>2</u>	6.67%
Northeast	91%	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	90.91%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	0	0%
Northwest	50%	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	50%	<u>6</u>	50%	0	0%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	88.89%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	11.11%
Southwest	83%	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	83.33%	<u>3</u>	16.67%	0	0%
Wichita	55%	<u>20</u>	<u>11</u>	55%	<u>9</u>	45%	0	0%
Statewide	70%	<u>100</u>	<u>68</u>	68%	<u>29</u>	29%	<u>3</u>	3%

(16) If the family is referred for DCF Services (Family Services, Family Preservation Services or Family First Prevention Services) are all sections of the PPS 2020 Kansas DCF Assessment Map completed?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	33%	<u>30</u>	<u>3</u>	10%	<u>6</u>	20%	<u>21</u>	70%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>1</u>	9.09%	0	0%	<u>10</u>	90.91%
Northwest	0%	<u>12</u>	0	0%	<u>1</u>	8.33%	<u>11</u>	91.67%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>2</u>	22.22%	0	0%	<u>7</u>	77.78%
Southwest	N/A	<u>18</u>	0	0%	0	0%	<u>18</u>	100%
Wichita	50%	<u>20</u>	<u>2</u>	10%	<u>2</u>	10%	<u>16</u>	80%
Statewide	47%	<u>100</u>	<u>8</u>	8%	<u>9</u>	9%	<u>83</u>	83%

(17) Was the Supervision Consultation Tool utilized during supervisor consultation?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	46%	<u>30</u>	<u>13</u>	43.33%	<u>15</u>	50%	<u>2</u>	6.67%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Northwest	38%	<u>12</u>	<u>3</u>	25%	<u>5</u>	41.67%	<u>4</u>	33.33%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	88.89%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	11.11%
Southwest	78%	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	38.89%	<u>2</u>	11.11%	<u>9</u>	50%
Wichita	75%	<u>20</u>	<u>12</u>	60%	<u>4</u>	20%	<u>4</u>	20%
Statewide	68%	<u>100</u>	<u>54</u>	54%	<u>26</u>	26%	<u>20</u>	20%

(18) Was a finding made for each allegation associated to each alleged victim?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	68%	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	50%	<u>7</u>	23.33%	<u>8</u>	26.67%
Northeast	100%	<u>11</u>	<u>7</u>	63.64%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	36.36%
Northwest	89%	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	66.67%	<u>1</u>	8.33%	<u>3</u>	25%
Southeast	100%	<u>9</u>	<u>6</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	78%	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	38.89%	<u>2</u>	11.11%	<u>9</u>	50%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	70%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	30%
Statewide	85%	<u>100</u>	<u>57</u>	57%	<u>10</u>	10%	<u>33</u>	33%

(19) Does the basis for case finding decision document sufficient information, using the facts and circumstances of the case, to support the case finding following policy requirements?

Region	Performance	Total Case Reads	Total Yes	% Yes	Total No	% No	Total N/A	% N/A
Kansas City	75%	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	50%	<u>5</u>	16.67%	<u>10</u>	33.33%
Northeast	86%	<u>11</u>	<u>6</u>	54.55%	<u>1</u>	9.09%	<u>4</u>	36.36%
Northwest	100%	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	66.67%	0	0%	<u>4</u>	33.33%
Southeast	67%	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	44.44%	<u>2</u>	22.22%	<u>3</u>	33.33%
Southwest	100%	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	38.89%	0	0%	<u>11</u>	61.11%
Wichita	100%	<u>20</u>	<u>14</u>	70%	0	0%	<u>6</u>	30%
Statewide	87%	<u>100</u>	<u>54</u>	54%	<u>8</u>	8%	<u>38</u>	38%