Da	ate of Completion November 13, 2020			
St	ate: Kansas	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021		
	Overall Report $\sqrt{}$ (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent $\underline{\hspace{0.2cm}}$ yes participation rate?		
		hanges Made Since FY 2005 ction for EACH change)		
1.	Name of eligibility change: Work Readiness Screen	ning		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: October	2006 and July 2013		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from pr	ior policy:		
<b>October 2006:</b> A work readiness screen, mainly conducted prior to the approval of cash assistance, was established as a condition of eligibility. Applicants who failed to cooperate were denied assistance, while recipients who failed to complete the screen were assessed a work penalty.				
	<b>July 2013:</b> The work readiness screening requirements.	irement was discontinued to align with revised application		
4.	<del>-</del>	e estimated impact of this eligibility change: The All Family ent cases (5.2 percent) to estimate the Two-Parent caseload		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: _0_		

Date of Completion November 13, 2020		
St	ate: Kansas	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021
1.	Name of eligibility change: Increase in Earned Incom	me Disregard
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: May 2008	3
3.		or policy: Prior to the policy change, the first \$90 of earned disregarded when determining the family's benefit. The cent.
4.		estimated impact of this eligibility change: The All Family nt cases (5.2 percent) to estimate the Two-Parent caseload
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	change on caseload in comparison year: 8.4

Date of Completion November 13, 2020				
St	state: Kansas	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021		
1.	. Name of eligibility change: Five-Month Transitional Pa	yment		
2.	. Implementation date of eligibility change: January 2009			
3.	. Description of policy, including the change from prior population provided to employed families whose earnings would hat policy permitted a new five-month payment cycle follows:	ve resulted in ineligibility for cash assistance. The		
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: The All Family impact was multiplied by the percentage of two-parent cases (5.2 percent) to estimate the Two-Parent caseload change.			
5	Estimated average monthly impact of this alicibility show	nge on caseload in comparison year: 20.1		
5.	. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility char	ige on caseload in companson year. 20.1		

## **Date of Completion November 13, 2020**

State: Kansas Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021

- 1. Name of eligibility change: Application Requirements
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: November 2011, July 2013 and January 2014
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**November 2011:** Applicants were required to complete 20 job contacts per week before their eligibility determination and 20 job contacts per week before meeting with a case manager to develop a self-sufficiency plan.

**July 2013:** The revised application policy eliminated the pre-eligibility job search requirement. The new policy required clients to register in the State's public workforce system and complete a work skills assessment. Eligibility was conditioned on completing both the registration and assessment. In addition, because of the work assessment feature in the new policy, the October 2006 Work Readiness Screening policy was discontinued.

January 2014: Clients who failed to register in the workforce system were required to produce a valid excuse.

**July 2016:** The revised application policy eliminated the requirement for the clients to register in the state's public workforce system and complete a work skills assessment. The new policy required the completion of a self-assessment form and the completion of an online orientation for employment services.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: The All Family impact was multiplied by the percentage of two-parent cases (5.2 percent) to estimate the Two-Parent caseload change.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -33.8

Date of Completion November 13, 2020		
St	ate: Kansas Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Change in Treatment of VA Compensation for Work Therapy	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January 2013	
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Compensated work therapy benefits from the Veteran's Administration were treated as earned income rather than unearned income.	
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: The All Family impact was multiplied by the percentage of two-parent cases (5.2 percent) to estimate the Two-Parent caseload change.	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -0.1	

### Date of Completion November 13, 2020

State: Kansas Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021

- 1. Name of eligibility change: Suspicion-Based Drug Testing
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: July 2014, January 2019, and May 2019
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**July 2014:** TANF applicants, recipients and third-party payees who indicated an unlawful use of controlled substances or analogs were tested for drug use. The indicators of drug use included: arrest records from drug related charges within the last 12 months, employment records (loss of job, failing a drug test, etc., within the last 12 months), self-declaration, visual observation of drug use, observation of drug paraphernalia, Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory screen indicators and a prior refusal to take a drug test.

The consequences for both positive drug tests and the refusal to take a drug test were limited to the individual's portion of the case benefit. The progressive consequences for a positive drug test follow:

- 1st positive test: Ineligibility for assistance until the completion of substance abuse treatment and job skills training
- 2nd positive test: 12-month ineligibility and completion of substance abuse treatment and job skills training
- 3rd positive test: Lifetime ineligibility

The consequences for refusing to submit to a drug test follow:

- 1st refusal: 6-month ineligibility and submit to a drug test
- 2nd refusal: 12-month ineligibility and submit to a drug test
- 3rd refusal: Lifetime ineligibility

**January 2019:** TANF benefits were not to be withheld because of a failure to name a protective payee for the Suspicion Based Drug Testing requirements. Applications were no longer to be denied for failure to provide a protective payee as the agency would assign one if one was not chosen.

**May 2019:** At first positive drug test, clients will be allowed to enroll in substance abuse treatment and skills training prior to a disqualification being implemented. Only if the individual fails to complete the substance abuse treatment and/or skills training will a disqualification occur. This continues to be counted as a first positive, even if the client does not serve a disqualification period.

\*Note: In Quarter 3 of 2020, no clients were tested as a result of statewide quarantine restrictions due to the Covid-19 pandemic. This was a temporary change in procedure and does not constitute a long-term change in policy.

Date of Completion November 13, 2020			
Sta	te: Kansas	Fiscal Year to which credit appli	es: 2021
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the e The All Family impact was multiplied by the percentage Parent caseload change.	stimated impact of this eligibility chang	ge:
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility ch	nange on caseload in comparison year:	-0.4

# Date of Completion November 13, 2020 State: Kansas Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021

- 1. Name of eligibility change: Time Limit Policies
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: November 2011, July 2015 and July 2016
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Successive reductions were imposed for the cash assistance time limit. In each case, transition provisions accompanied the new time limit:
  - **48-Month Limit:** Cases with more than 60 months of assistance at the time of the policy change were allowed a six-month extension. Cases with 36-59 months of assistance at the time of the policy change received an extension up to 12-months, not to exceed an overall 60 months of assistance. Hardship provision: 12 additional months.
  - **36-Month Limit:** Cases with more than 48 months of assistance at the time of the policy change were allowed an extension up to 60 months of assistance.
  - **24-Month Limit:** Cases with more than 30 months of assistance at the time of the policy change were allowed an extension up to 48 months.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: The All Family impact was multiplied by the percentage of two-parent cases (5.2 percent) to estimate the Two-Parent caseload change.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -128.3

Date of Completion November 13, 2020			
St	tate: Kansas Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021		
1.	Name of eligibility change: Minimum Benefit		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: August 2017		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: TANF payments where the net benefit is less than \$10 will not be issued for any month, including initial month payments. Prior to this change, initial month net benefits could be less than \$10, but any month after the initial would be denied or closed.		
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: The All Family impact was multiplied by the percentage of two-parent cases (5.2 percent) to estimate the Two-Parent caseload change.		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0		

## Date of Completion November 13, 2020

State: Kansas Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021

### **Excess MOE Calculation**

The TANF regulations allow a proportional adjustment to the caseload reduction credit when the State maintenance of effort expenditure exceeds the required level. (TANF Regulations, §261.43(2)). The calculation below computes the additional credit under this provision. (The acronym "SSP" denotes a separate state TANF program.)

Caseload Data		Expenditure Data	
FY 2005 TANF Caseload	17,622	Total Expenditures	
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0	FY 2020 Total Federal Expenditures	\$103,383,242
Total FY 2005 Caseload	17,622	FY 2020 Total MOE Expenditures	\$63,541,015
FY 2020 TANF Caseload	4,073	Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)	\$166,924,257
FY 2020 SSP Caseload	0		
Total FY 2019 Caseload	4,073	Assistance Expenditures	
		FY 2020 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$51,940,197
2-Parent Caseload Data		FY 2020 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$3,214,746
FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	1,283	Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)	\$55,154,943
FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0	Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	33.00%
Total FY 2005 Caseload	1,283		
FY 2020 2-p TANF Caseload	302	Expenditures Per Case	
FY 2020 2-p SSP Caseload	0	Average Expenditures per Case	\$40,979
Total FY 2019 Caseload	302	Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	\$13,540
		MOE and Excess MOE	
		Required MOE (80% or 75%)	\$82,706,594
		Excess MOE Expenditures	\$19,165,579
		Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$6,332,671
Adjusted Caseload Data			
Adjusted FY 2020 Overall Caseload	4,073	Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	468
Adjusted FY 2020 2-parent Caseload	302	2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	35

**Date of Completion November 13, 2020** 

**State: Kansas** Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021

## PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit-2-Parent Caseload

Impact of All Changes		Caseload Reduction Calculation		
Work Readiness Screening	0	FY 2005 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	1,283	
Increase in Earned Income Disregard	8	FY 2005 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	0	
Five-Month Transitional Payment	20	Total FY 2005 Caseload	1,283	
Applicant Requirements	-34	FY 2020 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	302	
Change in Treatment of VA				
Compensated Work Therapy	0	FY 2020 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	0	
Suspicion-Based Drug Testing	0	Total FY 2019 2-Parent Caseload	302	
Time Limit Policies	-128	Excess MOE 2-Parent Cases in FY 2019	-35	
Minimum Benefit	0	Adjusted FY 2020 Caseload	337	
Net Impact	-134	Caseload Decline	946	73.7%
		Decline – Net Impact	812	
		2-Parent Caseload Reductio	n Credit =	63.3%

Date of Completion November 13, 2020		
State: Kansas	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021	

## **PART 3 -- Certification**

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

(signature)
(name)
(title)